Polarity-driven oxygen vacancy formation in ultrathin LaNiO$_3$ films on SrTiO$_3$
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I. INTRODUCTION

Correlated transition-metal oxides host a diverse array of electronic and magnetic properties, including high-temperature superconductivity, colossal magnetoresistance, ferroelectricity, and Mott metal-insulator transitions (MITs) [1]. The atomic-level synthesis of functional oxides and an understanding of their growth behavior provide opportunities to explore and control the intriguing properties of artificial oxide heterostructures [2–4]. The main challenge, however, is to understand how to create the desired material structure at the unit-cell (u.c.) level in coordination with highly dissimilar materials or unfavorable surface terminations. One of the specific problems in oxide heterostructures is how to manage high-energy charge configurations at surfaces and interfaces, which is most difficult for the case of polar materials and surfaces (i.e., charge arrangements that lead to a nonzero electric field between the layers). From a classical charge standpoint, the main problem stems from the uncompensated electric fields created externally or across an interface, known as the polar discontinuity. This is a well-known problem for the case of surfaces [5,6] and semiconductor interfaces [7] and has been explored extensively in the past decade in the context of oxide interfaces [8–12]. However, it is clear that in the case of oxides, several material-specific routes exist to resolve the energy at the surface that depend on not only the chemical coordination but also on the ability of ions to change valence state as well as the energy for the formation of defects [13,14].

For the case of trivalent nickelate heterostructures (e.g., LaNiO$_3$), this is particularly important given the predictions of emergent phases such as superconductivity, which can arise in strongly confined ultrathin heterostructures [15–17]. However, the realization of these structures requires the fabrication of ultrathin nickelate layers and understanding how the properties evolve with thickness. For the case of LaNiO$_3$ prepared on polar substrates [e.g., LaAlO$_3$ (LAO)], it is clear that metallic phases can be realized down to few u.c. thickness [18–20], in confined geometries [21,22], or under tensile strain with polar buffer layers [23]. On the other hand, LaNiO$_3$ prepared directly on nonpolar SrTiO$_3$ (STO) (001) shows a metal-insulator transition at larger thicknesses indicating that something fundamentally different is occurring for the case with a polar mismatch at the interface [24–27], which some work had connected with the formation of nonstoichiometric LaNiO$_3$ in ultrathin layers [28–31].

In this paper, we have explored the effect of the polar discontinuity on the structure and properties of epitaxial LaNiO$_3$ ultrathin films on STO (001) by using in situ surface x-ray diffraction during oxide molecular beam epitaxy and ex situ x-ray absorption spectroscopy. Structural studies for a series of final thicknesses (4–11 u.c.) show a clear evolution in the lattice structure that is connected with the formation of Ni$^{2+}$, likely due to the creation of oxygen vacancies in the ultrathin films (4–6 u.c.), which then fill in to form the stoichiometric Ni$^{3+}$ state when the layer thickness increases. Theoretical calculations based on density functional theory (DFT) predict the stable structure of the defective phase and find good agreement with the experimental observations. These trends demonstrate that surface polarity can dramatically change defect concentration in thin films, yielding different valence, conductivity, and phase, as well as associated changes in structure, as a function of film thickness.

II. EXPERIMENTS

The in situ growth experiments were performed using reactive oxide molecular beam epitaxy [32] in an oxide chamber at beamline 33-ID-E of Advanced Photon Source (APS) [33]. Here, we synthesized atomically defined layers of the LaNiO$_3$ down to single pseudocubic u.c. thickness, epitaxially stabilized on STO (001) substrates. The LaNiO$_3$
films were grown at 590 °C and in a background pressure of $8 \times 10^{-6}$ Torr in a mixture of 10% ozone and 90% oxygen using La and Ni effusion cells. Both sources were shuttered to deposit alternating monolayer doses of LaO and NiO$_2$. Shutter times were initially set based on flux measurements made using a quartz crystal microbalance, and then checked by optimizing Kiessig (thickness) fringes with a high-resolution x-ray reflection measurement on a calibration sample immediately prior to the growth of the sample sets. Before growth, STO substrates were prepared using a termination recipe to achieve a TiO$_2$-terminated surface [34]. The in situ surface x-ray diffraction measurements were performed using a monochromatic 15 keV x-ray beam and measured under the growth conditions. Soft x-ray absorption spectra were taken at room temperature at beamline 4-ID-C of APS. Transport measurements were performed in the van der Pauw configuration upon cooling from 350 to 2 K. Each sample was patterned with gold electrodes and then indium bonded to gold wires in a way suitable for four-point resistance measurements.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A series of epitaxial ultrathin LaNiO$_3$ films of different thickness (ranging from 4 to 11 u.c.) were grown on STO (001) substrates, and high-resolution x-ray scattering was recorded along the out-of-plane direction (00$L$) crystal truncation rod (CTR) under growth conditions immediately following the deposition. The x-ray results are shown in Fig. 1(a), where the substrate Bragg peaks have been omitted for the explicitness of CTR. Post-growth measurements confirm that the films are all coherently strained. To gain further insight into the structural quality and the chemical phase obtained during the initial growth sequence, CTR was also taken on the 11 u.c. film immediately after approximately 5 u.c. of growth under growth conditions. We also measured the effect of the polar mismatch at the heterointerface on the electrical resistivity of ultrathin LaNiO$_3$ films. As shown in Fig. 1(b), the resistivity versus temperature behavior shows bulklke metallic behavior down to low temperature for the 11 u.c. film. The magnitude and shape are consistent with other reports on ultrathin films of LaNiO$_3$ [35–37]. However, as the film thickness decreases, the resistivity increases. The 6 u.c. film exhibits insulating (semiconducting) behavior starting from room temperature, and 4 u.c. film becomes highly insulating with the resistivity that is two orders of magnitude higher than 6 u.c. film, which demonstrates the development of a new electronic ground state of the material. Previous work showed a crossover between metal and insulator at $\sim$5 u.c. and was linked to the effect of a dimensional crossover from three to two dimensions [24]. Below we will show the origin of this MIT results from a polarity-driven change in the oxygen stoichiometry.

To better understand the thickness-dependent MIT, we tracked the evolution in electronic structure using x-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS). As shown in Fig. 1(c), resonant x-ray absorption was performed at the Ni $L_2$ edge in the bulk-sensitive fluorescence yield mode to determine changes in the electronic structure and charge state of Ni as a function of the LaNiO$_3$ thickness. A comparison of the line shape and
the \( L_2 \) energy position (i.e., the chemical shift) for the \( \text{LaNiO}_3 \) films with a \( \text{Ni}^{2+} \) material, \( \text{NiO} \), and a \( \text{Ni}^{3+} \) bulk \( \text{LaNiO}_3 \) sample [38] indicates that \( \text{Ni} \) ions are indeed close to the \( 2^+ \) oxidation state for thinner films, and the relative weight of \( \text{Ni}^{3+} \) ions increases progressively with the film thickness. The changes in \( \text{Ni} \) valence are presumably due to changes in oxygen vacancy concentration, as oxygen vacancies are the most active defect that can drive \( \text{Ni} \) redox. As seen in the inset of Fig. 1(c), the oxidation state changes linearly with thickness. The \( 2^+ \) valence in the 4 u.c. film is consistent with the formation of \( \text{LaNiO}_2.5 \) during the initial growth, which then gradually absorbs oxygen to form a stoichiometric film with increasing thickness. Since we measured the valence of the entire film in all cases, we can estimate the average stoichiometry and fraction of \( \text{Ni}^{2+} \) and \( \text{Ni}^{3+} \) in the film. For a film of 11 u.c., there cannot be more than 1–2 u.c. \( \text{Ni}^{2+} \) or the valence would not be close to \( \text{Ni}^{3+} \). As we will show below, this means that the initial layer of 4 u.c. of \( \text{LaNiO}_2.5 \) has been converted during the growth to a stoichiometric phase as the film thickness increases to beyond 11 u.c.

To gain insight into the lattice structure and its evolution with thickness, the CTRs were all quantified using the one-dimensional coherent Bragg rod analysis (COBRA) technique [39]. The resulting electron densities for these films after growth of the final layer are shown in Fig. 2(a). This plot shows the results for these films after growth of the final layer. The pseudocubic \( c \)-axis lattice parameter, \( c_L \), and the \( B \)-site displacement, \( \Delta B \), extracted from fitting the peak positions of the electron densities are shown in Figs. 2(b) and 2(c), respectively. It is important to note that the average lattice constant determined by measuring the position of the (003) peak for the films of 6 u.c. and thinner would lead us to believe that the average lattice parameter is much smaller than it actually is as seen by the average of the layer resolved results. This apparent discrepancy is due to a strong interaction between the Kiessig fringes and the gradient of \( c_L \). As a reference, the \( R3c \) form of \( \text{LaNiO}_3 \) has a pseudocubic lattice parameter of 3.83 Å, which means films on \( \text{STO} \) are under \( \sim 2\% \) tensile strain. The literature has shown an expected \( c \)-axis value of \( \sim 3.80 \) Å [36,40–42] and \( \text{LaNiO}_2.5 \) on \( \text{STO} \) has an average \( c \)-axis parameter of \( \sim 3.73 \) Å [43,44]. Furthermore, there is a strong polar displacement of the \( BO_2 \) layer (\( \Delta B \)) away from the unit-cell center. The gradient of lattice parameter and polar distortions are similar to those seen in the case of \( \text{LAO} \) on \( \text{STO} \) [45–50], which was also attributed to polar-mismatch-driven distortions. The \( \Delta B \) will be discussed more below in connection to interfacial charge transfer.

Additionally, included in Fig. 2 are data taken on the 11 u.c. film after approximately 5 u.c. of growth. The purpose of this data was to understand not only how the films evolved as a function of final thickness, but during the growth as well. Following the trend of the lattice parameter and \( B \)-site displacement shown in Figs. 2(b) and 2(c), it is clear that a similar lattice structure that occurred in the 4 u.c. film was present in the 11 u.c. sample at the early stages of growth. This comparison allows us to see that the films start oxygen deficient, but as they grow in thickness, they convert into the proper \( \text{LaNiO}_3 \) phase. As noted in the discussion of the XAS, even though the film was \( \text{LaNiO}_2.5 \) at 4 u.c., by the time the film is 11 u.c., it has almost fully converted to the desired \( \text{LaNiO}_3 \) phase.

![Electron density profiles determined by COBRA analysis of the data for the 11 u.c. film (red), 6 u.c. film (blue), and 4 u.c. film (violet). The electron density profile for the 11 u.c. (final) film structure measured after 5 u.c. of growth is also shown in red. Layer-dependent evolution of (b) pseudocubic lattice parameter, \( c_L \), and (c) the \( B \)-site displacement, \( \Delta B \), across the interface. The inset shows a pseudocubic unit cell denoting the two parameters shown in the plots.

To understand the oxygen loss in the ultrathin limit, \textit{ab initio} calculations were performed using DFT, as coded in the Vienna \textit{ab initio} Simulation Package (\textsc{vasp}) [51,52]. The Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof functional was employed and the projector augmented wave method was utilized with the following
The starting point for the modeling was to consider potential crystal structures that would form based upon knowledge of bulk LaNiO$_3$ and LaNiO$_{2.5}$ [56,57]. Figure 3 shows the structure of LaNiO$_3$ [Fig. 3(a)] and that of LaNiO$_{2.5}$, which has two different possible unit-cell orientations relative to the SrTiO$_3$ substrate that are labeled as (A) and (B), as shown in Figs. 3(b) and 3(c), respectively. While LaNiO$_3$ contains one Ni site with octahedral coordination of oxygen, the LaNiO$_{2.5}$ phase consists of alternating octahedral and planar coordinated Ni sites, where LaNiO$_{2.5}$ (A) and LaNiO$_{2.5}$ (B) correspond to orienting the planar Ni sites parallel and perpendicular to the growth axis (surface normal), respectively. Orienting the spatial alignment of different coordination sites to lower the strain has been observed in other systems (e.g., cobaltites [58,59]).

To understand oxygen vacancy ($V_O$) formation in the epitaxial films, we theoretically examined the stability of LaNiO$_{2.5}$ relative to LaNiO$_3$ at the oxygen chemical potential used in the experiments, as detailed in the Supplemental Material [55]. Figure 4 shows that in the examined thickness range, the formation energy of LaNiO$_{2.5}$ (B) is always positive, indicating that LaNiO$_{2.5}$ (B) is less stable than LaNiO$_3$. By contrast, LaNiO$_{2.5}$ (A) has negative formation energies for the structures with 2 and 4 u.c., suggesting that the LaNiO$_{2.5}$ (A) is more stable than the corresponding LaNiO$_3$ for these two thicknesses. The formation of LaNiO$_{2.5}$ (A) becomes more difficult relative to LaNiO$_3$ with increasing thickness and a complete transition to LaNiO$_3$ is predicted to occur at a thickness of 6 u.c. This prediction of structural transition is qualitatively consistent with the experimental observation of charge state evolution from Ni$^{2+}$ to Ni$^{3+}$ with increasing thickness [Fig. 1(c)]. However, there is some quantitative discrepancy, as the transition to complete LaNiO$_3$ occurs experimentally at 11 u.c. rather than 6 u.c. This discrepancy is not surprising given the uncertainty in the oxygen chemical potential (see Supplemental Material [55]) and the fact that the experimental transition to LaNiO$_3$ involved a relatively smooth increase in oxygen content of the film [Fig. 1(c)], while our model treated only ideal cases of perfect LaNiO$_{2.5}$ and LaNiO$_3$. Since bulk LaNiO$_{2.5}$ is highly insulating (2.0 eV band gap from our calculations) while LaNiO$_3$ is metallic (0 eV band gap from our calculations) [57], the structural evolution explains the thickness-dependent MIT.

The formation of LaNiO$_{2.5}$ (A) rather than (B) and its stability decreasing with thickness also reflect the competition between the polarity discontinuity and strain effects in this system. Because the formal charge of each layer is $1^+ / 1^-$ for LaNiO$_{2.5}$ (A) but $2^+ / 2^-$ for LaNiO$_{2.5}$ (B), the polarity discontinuity effect is weaker in the former. By contrast, the lattice mismatch relative to the substrate is 4.1% for LaNiO$_{2.5}$ (A) but only $-0.4\%$ for LaNiO$_{2.5}$ (B) according to the lattice parameters of free-standing LaNiO$_{2.5}$, and therefore the strain energy is larger in the former. When the film is thin, the strain effects are weak and the less polar surface is likely to be stable, suggesting the system prefers the growth of LaNiO$_{2.5}$ (A). However, when the film becomes thick, the strain effects gradually increase, suggesting that the formation of LaNiO$_{2.5}$ (A) will become more difficult and the possible growth of LaNiO$_3$ would be expected.
To understand how the V\text{O} formation can solve the polar discontinuity, we finally examined the charge transfer between the epitaxial LaNiO\text{3} and LaNiO\text{2.5} films and the substrates. Because the polarity mismatch induces dipoles in the growth direction, to compensate the dipole, it is necessary to transfer electrons from the top region of the epitaxial film to the bottom region, as schematically shown in Fig. 5. However, such electron transfer is energetically unfavorable for LaNiO\text{3} because the valance state of Ni is already at the maximum value of 3+. In contrast, the V\text{O} in LaNiO\text{2.5} (A) reduces Ni to 2+ and thus allows it to lose electrons and compensate the polarity mismatch. This simplified model is qualitatively supported by our DFT results that show for a thickness of 2, 4, and 7 u.c., the electron transfer from LaNiO\text{3} to the substrate is only 0.17 electrons per surface Ni atom regardless of thickness, but for LaNiO\text{2.5} it is 0.24, 0.30, and 0.31 electrons per surface Ni atom, respectively. Figure 1(b) suggests that such charge transfer possibly does not lead to a two-dimensional electron gas but only immobile charge states, as observed in other systems [60,61]. To further support that this LaNiO\text{2.5} structure is stable, we considered a plausible competing structure that also compensates polarity, namely, an epitaxial LaNiO\text{3} film terminated with a top oxygen-deficient NiO\text{1.5} surface. The comparison shown in Fig. S2 in the Supplemental Material indicates that LaNiO\text{2.5} is the most stable structure under synthesis conditions. The DFT results also reveal that major electron loss occurs in the top three atomic layers and the extra electrons aggregate in several layers above and/or below the interface. Such electron depletion and aggregation in the top and bottom regions of the epitaxial LaNiO\text{2.5} films are consistent with the positive and negative $\Delta B$ in the two regions [Fig. 2(c)], respectively. Note that in our measurement we only measure the average atomic position of the layer so we cannot distinguish a rumpling of the BO\text{2} plane vs off-centering. However, previous work has shown that both the rumpling and off-centering are correlated with changes in the valence of ions near the interface [48,50]. One implication of the simple picture of charge transfer developed here is that similar V\text{O} formation is expected to occur in the growth of other polar ABO\text{3} on STO, if the maximum valance state of B is 3+, such as Al [62], Fe, and Co, and the oxidizing environment is not exceptionally strong [55]. By contrast, the polar material is expected to grow without or with only a few V\text{O}, if B has a maximum valance state greater than 3+, such as V, Cr, and Mn.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have combined theory and experiment to understand how ultrathin LaNiO\text{3} forms an oxygen-deficient phase in order to resolve the polar mismatch on SrTiO\text{3}. This transition from a nonstoichiometric phase to the expected one shows clearly a mechanism for the MIT that occurs when the layers are thin. This demonstrates pathways by which ABO\text{3} materials can fluctuate from $B^{2+}$ to $B^{3+}$ coupled with oxygen vacancy formation within the BO\text{2} layers in order to resolve the polar discontinuity.
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